## Variational method



2021/5/24

#### Ritz variational principle

 consider a Hamiltonian H and arbitrary normalized state

 $\langle \psi | \psi \rangle = 1$ 

• The ground state energy has a upperbound

 $E_0 \leq \langle \psi | H | \psi \rangle$ 

## Proof

• Expand the wavefunction with eigenstates

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{m} C_{m} |m\rangle$$
  $\sum_{m} |C_{m}|^{2} = 1$   
Calculate the expectation value of E

$$\langle \psi | H | \psi \rangle = \sum_{nm} C_n^* C_m \langle n | H | m \rangle = \sum_{nm} C_n^* C_m E_m \langle n | m \rangle$$

$$= \sum |C_m|^2 E_m$$

$$\geq E_0 \sum |C_m|^2 = E_0$$

• Consider SHO 
$$H = \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega^2 x^2$$

• Trial wavefunction: Gaussian function  $\psi(x) = Ae^{-bx^2}$ 

normalization constant

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\psi(x)|^2 dx = A \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-2bx^2} dx = 1 \qquad A = \left(\frac{2b}{\pi}\right)^{1/4}$$

# SHO energy

• Calculate kinetic energy  $\psi(x) = Ae^{-bx^2}$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T \rangle &= \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \int \psi^*(x) \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \psi(x) dx = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} A^2 (2b)^2 \int x^2 e^{-2bx^2} dx \\ &= \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} (2b)^2 A^2 \int \frac{1}{4b} e^{-2bx^2} dx = \frac{\hbar^2 b}{2m} \end{aligned}$$

potential energy

$$\langle V \rangle = \frac{m\omega^2}{2} \int \psi^*(x) x^2 \psi(x) dx = \frac{m\omega^2}{2} A^2 \int x^2 e^{-2bx^2} dx$$

$$=\frac{m\omega^2}{2}A^2\frac{1}{4b}\int e^{-2bx^2}dx = \frac{m\omega^2}{8b}$$

# SHO energy

• Expectation energy  

$$\langle H \rangle = \langle T \rangle + \langle V \rangle = \frac{\hbar^2 b}{2m} + \frac{m\omega^2}{8b}$$

- Minimize energy in respect to b  $\frac{d\langle H\rangle}{db} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} - \frac{m\omega^2}{8b^2} = 0 \qquad b = \frac{m\omega}{2\hbar}$
- Minimum energy

$$\langle H \rangle_{min} = \left(\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\right) \left(\frac{m\omega}{2\hbar}\right) + \left(\frac{m\omega^2}{8}\right) \left(\frac{2\hbar}{m\omega}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega$$

It is an exact result!

#### Ground state of He

• The 2-particle Hamiltonian



single particle wavefunction(effective nuclear charge)

$$H\psi_{100} = \left[\frac{p^2}{2m} - \frac{Z^*e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r}\right]\psi_{100} = E\psi_{100}$$
$$E = -Z^{*2}\left(\frac{1}{2}mc^2\alpha^2\right) = -13.6Z^{*2}eV$$

## Electron repulsion energy

 $\psi(r_1, r_2) = \frac{Z^3}{\pi a_0^3} e^{-Z\frac{r_1 + r_2}{a_0}}$ 

• Trial wavefunction

$$\psi_{100} = \sqrt{\frac{Z^3}{\pi a_0^3}} e^{-Zr/a_0}$$

• Repulsion energy

$$\langle V_{ee} \rangle = \frac{e^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \int \Psi^* \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|} \Psi d^3 r_1 d^3 r_2 = \frac{e^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \left(\frac{Z^3}{\pi a_0^3}\right)^2 \int \frac{e^{-2Z(r_1 + r_2)/a_0}}{|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|} d^3 r_1 d^3 r_2 = \frac{e^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \left(\frac{Z^3}{\pi a_0^3}\right)^2 \int \frac{e^{-2Z(r_1 + r_2)/a_0}}{\sqrt{r_1^2 + r_2^2 - 2r_1 r_2 \cos\theta}} d^3 r_1 d^3 r_2 = \frac{e^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \left(\frac{Z^3}{\pi a_0^3}\right)^2 \int dr_1 dr_2 d\Omega_1 d\Omega_2 \frac{r_1^2 r_2^2 e^{-2Z(r_1 + r_2)/a_0}}{\sqrt{r_1^2 + r_2^2 - 2r_1 r_2 \cos\theta}}$$

$$\int \frac{1}{\sqrt{r_1^2 + r_2^2 - 2r_1r_2\cos\theta}} d\Omega_2 d\Omega_1 = \int d\Omega_1 \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \int_{-1}^1 d(\cos\theta) \frac{1}{\sqrt{r_1^2 + r_2^2 - 2r_1r_2\cos\theta}} \\ = \int d\Omega_1 2\pi \frac{1}{r_1r_2} \sqrt{r_1^2 + r_2^2 - 2r_1r_2\cos\theta} \Big|_{-1}^1 \\ = \frac{8\pi^2}{r_1r_2} \Big(r_1 + r_2 - |r_1 - r_2|\Big) \\ \frac{8\pi^2 \int dr_1 \int dr_2 r_1^2 r_2^2 \frac{1}{r_1r_2} e^{-2Z(n+r_2)a_0} \Big(r_1 + r_2 - |r_1 - r_2|\Big)}{e^{16\pi^2} \int_0^{\infty} dr_1 e^{-2Zn/a_0} \left(r_1 \int_0^{\pi} dr_2 r_2^2 e^{-2Zn/a_0} - r_1^2 \int_{\pi}^{\infty} dr_2 r_2 e^{-2Zn/a_0}\right) \\ = 16\pi^2 \int_0^{\infty} dr_1 r_1 e^{-2Zn/a_0} \left(2\left(\frac{a_0}{2Z}\right)^3 \left(e^{-2Zn/a_0} - 1\right) + r_1\left(\frac{a_0}{2Z}\right)^2 e^{-2Zn/a_0}\right) \\ = 32\pi^2 \left(\frac{a_0}{2Z}\right)^3 \int_0^{\infty} dr_1 r_1 e^{-2Zn/a_0} \left[1 - \left(1 + \frac{Zr_1}{a_0}\right) e^{-2Zn/a_0}\right] \\ = 32\pi^2 \left(\frac{a_0}{2Z}\right)^3 \left[\left(\frac{a_0}{2Z}\right)^2 - 2\left(\frac{a_0}{4Z}\right)^3 \frac{Z}{a_0}\right] \\ = 32\pi^2 \left(\frac{a_0}{2Z}\right)^5 \left[1 - \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{8}\right] = 20\pi^2 \left(\frac{a_0}{2Z}\right)^5$$

Estimated energy for Z\*=2

$$\langle V_{ee} \rangle = \frac{e^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \left(\frac{Z^3}{\pi a_0^3}\right)^2 \times 20\pi^2 \left(\frac{a_0}{2Z}\right)^5 = \frac{e^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{5}{8} \frac{Z}{a_0}$$

• Replace Z by Z\*

$$\rightarrow \frac{e^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \frac{5}{8} \frac{Z^*}{a_0} = \frac{5}{4} Z^* \left(\frac{1}{2}mc^2\alpha^2\right)$$

- Z\*=2, 2E= 8 x (-13.6 eV)= -109 eV, total energy=2E+V<sub>ee</sub> =-109 eV+ 34 eV= -75 eV
- Exact He energy = -78.975 eV

#### Z\* <> 2

• Rewrite the Hamiltonian

 $H = \frac{p_1^2}{2m} + \frac{p_2^2}{2m} - \frac{Z^* e^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r_1} - \frac{Z^* e^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r_2} - \frac{\Delta Z e^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r_1} - \frac{\Delta Z e^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r_2} + \frac{e^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r$ 

- For the first 4- terms the energy is  $\left\langle \frac{p_1^2}{2m} + \frac{p_2^2}{2m} - \frac{Z^*e^2}{4\pi\epsilon r_1} - \frac{Z^*e^2}{4\pi\epsilon r_2} \right\rangle = -2Z^{*2} \left(\frac{1}{2}mc^2\alpha^2\right)$
- For the last term is

$$\langle V_{ee} \rangle = \frac{5}{4} Z^* \left( \frac{1}{2} m c^2 \alpha^2 \right)$$

## estimated energy for $Z^* \neq 2$

• Additional potential term

$$\left\langle -\frac{\Delta Z e^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r_1} - \frac{\Delta Z e^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r_2} \right\rangle = -2\frac{\Delta Z e^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \left\langle \frac{1}{r} \right\rangle = -2\frac{\Delta Z e^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0}\frac{Z^*}{a_0}$$
$$= 4\Delta Z Z^* \left(\frac{1}{2}mc^2\alpha^2\right)$$

• total energy

$$\langle H \rangle = \left[ -2Z^{*2} - 4(Z - Z^{*})Z^{*} + \frac{5}{4}Z^{*} \right] \left( \frac{1}{2}mc^{2}\alpha^{2} \right)$$
$$= \left( 2Z^{*2} - 4ZZ^{*} + \frac{5}{4}Z^{*} \right) \left( \frac{1}{2}mc^{2}\alpha^{2} \right)$$

## minimize energy

- minimize E  $\frac{d\langle H\rangle}{dZ^*} = \left(4Z^* - 4Z + \frac{5}{4}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}mc^2\alpha^2\right) = 0$   $Z^* = Z - \frac{5}{16}$
- minimum energy

$$\langle H \rangle_{min} = -2\left(Z - \frac{5}{16}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{2}mc^2\alpha^2\right) = -77.38\text{eV}$$

• Exact He energy = -78.975 eV

## $H_2^+$ ion



 Trial wavefunction: Linear combination of atomic orbitals(LCAO)

$$\Psi_{\pm} = A \left[ \psi_0(r_1) \pm \psi_0(r_2) \right]$$
$$\psi_0(r_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi a_0^3}} e^{-r/a_0}$$

• For simplicity, we only consider even wavefunction.(bonding mode)

## overlap integral

normalization constant

 $1 = \langle \psi | \psi \rangle = A^2 \left[ \langle \psi_0(r_1) | \psi_0(r_1) \rangle + \langle \psi_0(r_2) | \psi_0(r_2) \rangle + 2 \langle \psi_0(r_1) | \psi_0(r_2) \rangle \right]$  $= 2A^2 \left[ 1 + \langle \psi_0(r_1) | \psi_0(r_2) \rangle \right]$  $A^2 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1 + \langle \psi_0(r_1) | \psi_0(r_2) \rangle}$ 

• Overlap integral

$$I = \langle \psi_0(r_1) | \psi_0(r_2) \rangle = \int \psi_0(r_1) \psi_0(r_2) d^3 r$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{\pi a_0^3} \int d^3 r_1 e^{-r_1/a_0} e^{-|r_1 - R|/a_0}$   
=  $\frac{1}{\pi a_0^3} \int_0^\infty dr_1 \int_0^{2\pi} d\phi \int_{-1}^1 d(\cos\theta) r_1^2 e^{-r_1/a_0} e^{-\sqrt{r_1^2 + R^2 - 2r_1 R \cos\theta}/a_0}$   
=  $e^{-R/a_0} \left[ \frac{R^2}{3a_0^2} + \frac{R}{a_0} + 1 \right] = \left( 1 + x + \frac{x^2}{3} \right) e^{-x} \qquad x = \frac{R}{a_0}$ 

$$\begin{split} \int \psi_{0}(r_{1})\psi_{0}(r_{2})d^{3}r &= \frac{1}{\pi a_{0}^{3}} \int_{0}^{\infty} dr \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\phi \int_{|n-R|}^{1} d(\cos\theta)r_{1}^{2}e^{-r_{1}/a_{0}}e^{-\sqrt{r_{1}^{2}+R^{2}-2r_{1}R\cos\theta}/a_{0}} \\ &= -\frac{1}{\pi a_{0}^{3}} \int_{0}^{\infty} dr \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\phi \int_{|n-R|}^{n+R} dy \frac{r_{1}y}{R}e^{-r_{1}/a_{0}}e^{-y/a_{0}} \qquad y = \sqrt{r_{1}^{2}+R^{2}-2r_{1}R\cos\theta} \\ &= -\frac{2}{a_{0}^{2}R} \int_{0}^{\infty} dr_{1}r_{1}e^{-r_{1}/a_{0}}\left((r_{1}+R+a_{0})e^{-(r_{1}+R)/a_{0}}-(|r_{1}-R|+a_{0})e^{-|r_{1}-R|/a_{0}}\right) \\ &= -\frac{2}{a_{0}^{2}R} \begin{cases} e^{-R/a_{0}}\int_{0}^{\infty} dr_{1}r_{1}(r_{1}+R+a_{0})e^{-2r_{1}/a_{0}}-e^{-R/a_{0}}\int_{0}^{R} dr_{1}r_{1}(R-r_{1}+a_{0}) \\ -e^{R/a_{0}}\int_{R}^{\pi} dr_{1}r_{1}(r_{1}-R+a_{0})e^{-2r_{1}/a_{0}} \end{cases} \end{cases}$$

#### Expectation energy

#### • The expectation value of energy

 $\langle H \rangle = A^2 \left[ \langle \psi_0(r_1) | H | \psi_0(r_1) \rangle + \langle \psi_0(r_2) | H | \psi_0(r_2) \rangle + 2 \langle \psi_0(r_1) | H | \psi_0(r_2) \rangle \right]$ =  $2A^2 \left[ \langle \psi_0(r_1) | H | \psi_0(r_1) \rangle + \langle \psi_0(r_1) | H | \psi_0(r_2) \rangle \right]$ 

 $\langle \psi_0(r_1) | H | \psi_0(r_1) \rangle = \langle \psi_0(r_2) | H | \psi_0(r_2) \rangle$  why?

• H is invariant if  $I \leftrightarrow 2$ 

$$H = \frac{p^2}{2m} - \frac{e^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r_1} - \frac{e^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r_2} + \frac{e^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 R}$$

#### Expectation energy

#### • The matrix elements

$$\begin{split} \langle \psi_0(r_1) \,|\, H \,|\, \psi_0(r_1) \rangle &= \left\langle \psi_0(r_1) \,\left| \frac{p^2}{2m} - \frac{e^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r_1} - \frac{e^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r_2} + \frac{e^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 R} \,\right| \,\psi_0(r_1) \right\rangle \\ &= E_1 + \frac{e^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 R} - \frac{e^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \left\langle \psi_0(r_1) \,\left| \frac{1}{r_2} \,\right| \,\psi_0(r_1) \right\rangle \\ \langle \psi_0(r_1) \,|\, H \,|\, \psi_0(r_2) \rangle &= \left\langle \psi_0(r_1) \,\left| \frac{p^2}{2m} - \frac{e^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r_1} - \frac{e^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 r_2} + \frac{e^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 R} \,\right| \,\psi_0(r_2) \right\rangle \\ &= \left( E_1 + \frac{e^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 R} \right) \left\langle \psi_0(r_1) \,|\, \psi_0(r_2) \right\rangle - \frac{e^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0} \left\langle \psi_0(r_1) \,\left| \frac{1}{r_1} \,\right| \,\psi_0(r_2) \right\rangle \end{split}$$

#### Expectation energy

$$\begin{split} \langle H \rangle &= 2A^2 \left[ \langle \psi_0 \, | \, H | \, \psi_0 \rangle + \langle \psi_0(r_1) \, | \, H | \, \psi_0(r_2) \rangle \right] \\ &= 2A^2 \left[ \left( E_1 + \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 R} \right) \left( 1 + \left\langle \psi_0(r_1) \, | \, \psi_0(r_2) \right\rangle \right) \right] \\ &- 2A^2 \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \left[ \left\langle \psi_0(r_1) \, \left| \frac{1}{r_2} \, \right| \, \psi_0(r_1) \right\rangle + \left\langle \psi_0(r_1) \, \left| \frac{1}{r_1} \, \right| \, \psi_0(r_2) \right\rangle \right] \\ &= E_1 + \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 R} - \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 a_0} \left( \frac{D+X}{1+I} \right) \qquad A^2 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1 + \langle \psi_0(r_1) \, | \, \psi_0(r_2) \rangle} \\ &= E_1 \left( 1 - 2\frac{a_0}{R} + 2\frac{D+X}{1+I} \right) \qquad E_1 = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 a_0} \end{split}$$

## Direct integral

Direct integral

$$D = a_0 \left\langle \psi_0(r_1) \left| \frac{1}{r_2} \right| \psi_0(r_1) \right\rangle = \frac{a_0}{R} - \left( 1 + \frac{a_0}{R} \right) e^{-2R/a_0} = \frac{1}{x} \left[ 1 - (1+x)e^{-2x} \right]$$
  

$$x = \frac{R}{a_0}$$

Exchange Integral

$$X = a_0 \left\langle \psi_0(r_1) \left| \frac{1}{r_1} \right| \psi_0(r_2) \right\rangle = \left( 1 + \frac{R}{a_0} \right) e^{-R/a_0} = (1+x)e^{-x}$$

## optimization

• Express the <E> with x

$$\begin{split} \langle H \rangle &= E_1 \left( 1 - 2\frac{a_0}{R} + 2\frac{D + X}{1 + I} \right) \\ &= E_1 \left( 1 - 2\frac{1}{x} + 2\frac{\frac{1}{x} \left[ 1 - (1 + x)e^{-2x} \right] + (1 + x)e^{-x}}{1 + \left( 1 + x + \frac{x^2}{3} \right)e^{-x}} \right) \\ &= E_1 \left( 1 - 2\frac{1}{x} \frac{(1 + x)e^{-2x} + \left( 1 - \frac{2}{3}x^2 \right)e^{-x}}{1 + \left( 1 + x + \frac{x^2}{3} \right)e^{-x}} \right) = FE_1 \end{split}$$

• To minimize <E> with respect to x

## H<sub>2</sub> bonding

- optimum distance  $R \sim 2.4 a_0$  (~0.13 nm)
- optimum energy change ~ -1.76 eV



- experimental *R*~0.106 nm
- optimum energy change ~ -2.8 eV

#### **Scalable Quantum Simulation of Molecular Energies**

P. J. J. O'Malley,<sup>1,\*</sup> R. Babbush,<sup>2,†</sup> I. D. Kivlichan,<sup>3</sup> J. Romero,<sup>3</sup> J. R. McClean,<sup>4</sup> R. Barends,<sup>5</sup> J. Kelly,<sup>5</sup> P. Roushan,<sup>5</sup> A. Tranter,<sup>6,7</sup> N. Ding,<sup>2</sup> B. Campbell,<sup>1</sup> Y. Chen,<sup>5</sup> Z. Chen,<sup>1</sup> B. Chiaro,<sup>1</sup> A. Dunsworth,<sup>1</sup> A. G. Fowler,<sup>5</sup> E. Jeffrey,<sup>5</sup> E. Lucero,<sup>5</sup> A. Megrant,<sup>5</sup> J. Y. Mutus,<sup>5</sup> M. Neeley,<sup>5</sup> C. Neill,<sup>1</sup> C. Quintana,<sup>1</sup> D. Sank,<sup>5</sup> A. Vainsencher,<sup>1</sup> J. Wenner,<sup>1</sup> T. C. White,<sup>5</sup> P. V. Coveney,<sup>7</sup> P. J. Love,<sup>6</sup> H. Neven,<sup>2</sup> A. Aspuru-Guzik,<sup>3</sup> and J. M. Martinis<sup>5,1,‡</sup>
<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106, USA
<sup>2</sup>Google Inc., Venice, California 90291, USA
<sup>3</sup>Department of Chemistry, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02138, USA
<sup>4</sup>Computational Research Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720, USA
<sup>5</sup>Google Inc., Santa Barbara, California 93117, USA
<sup>6</sup>Department of Physics, Tufts University, Medford, Massachusetts 02155, USA
<sup>7</sup>Center for Computational Science and Department of Chemistry, University College London, London WC1H 0AJ, United Kingdom (Received 7 April 2016; published 18 July 2016)

We report the first electronic structure calculation performed on a quantum computer without exponentially costly precompilation. We use a programmable array of superconducting qubits to compute the energy surface of molecular hydrogen using two distinct quantum algorithms. First, we experimentally execute the unitary coupled cluster method using the variational quantum eigensolver. Our efficient implementation predicts the correct dissociation energy to within chemical accuracy of the numerically exact result. Second, we experimentally demonstrate the canonical quantum algorithm for chemistry, which consists of Trotterization and quantum phase estimation. We compare the experimental performance of these approaches to show clear evidence that the variational quantum eigensolver is robust to certain errors. This error tolerance inspires hope that variational quantum simulations of classically intractable molecules may be viable in the near future.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevX.6.031007

Subject Areas: Condensed Matter Physics, Quantum Information

## Variational eigensolver

- We want to optimize the energy  $\langle \psi(\theta) | H | \psi(\theta) \rangle$
- For computation, the hamiltonian is scalable using "local hamiltonians"

$$H = \sum_{\gamma} h_{\gamma} H_{\gamma}$$

 $H_{\gamma} = \{1, X_i, Y_i, Z_i\}$  and their combinations

## 2nd quantization method

• Original version of H

$$H = \sum_{i} \frac{p_i^2}{2m} - \frac{Z_j e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 |r_i - R_j|} + \frac{Z_i Z_j e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 |R_i - R_j|} + \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 |r_i - r_j|}$$



repulsive force

## 2nd quantization method

• 2nd quantization formulation

$$H = \sum_{pq} h_{pq} a_p^{\dagger} a_q + \sum_{pqrs} h_{pqrs} a_p^{\dagger} a_q^{\dagger} a_r a_s$$

 $a_p$  are fermionic operators

$$h_{pq} = \int dr \phi_p^*(r) \left( \frac{p^2}{2m} - \sum_j \frac{Z_j e^2}{4\pi\varepsilon_0 |r - R_j|} \right) \phi_q(r)$$

$$h_{pqrs} = \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \int dr_1 dr_2 \phi_p^*(r_1) \phi_q^*(r_2) \frac{1}{|r_1 - r_2|} \phi_r(r_1) \phi_s(r_2)$$

## Local hamiltonians

• For H<sub>2</sub> molecules (4-qubit H for p, q, r and s)

$$\begin{split} H &= f_0 \mathbb{1} + f_1 Z_0 + f_2 Z_1 + f_3 Z_2 + f_1 Z_0 Z_1 \\ &+ f_4 Z_0 Z_2 + f_5 Z_1 Z_3 + f_6 X_0 Z_1 X_2 + f_6 Y_0 Z_1 Y_2 \\ &+ f_7 Z_0 Z_1 Z_2 + f_4 Z_0 Z_2 Z_3 + f_3 Z_1 Z_2 Z_3 \\ &+ f_6 X_0 Z_1 X_2 Z_3 + f_6 Y_0 Z_1 Y_2 Z_3 + f_7 Z_0 Z_1 Z_2 Z_3, \end{split}$$

 qI and q3 do not flip. Can be simplified to 2-qubit H

 $\tilde{H} = g_0 \mathbb{1} + g_1 Z_0 + g_2 Z_1 + g_3 Z_0 Z_1 + g_4 X_0 X_1 + g_5 Y_0 Y_1,$ 

## Variational eigensolver

 Input state is parametrized by a shallow quantum circuit

 $|\psi(\theta)\rangle = U(\theta) |\phi\rangle$ 

 $|\phi\rangle$  is a product state

- $\theta$  is a list of parameters
- In practice, U can be expressed as

 $U(\theta) = U_1(\theta_1)U_2(\theta_2)\cdots U_n(\theta_n)$ 





